IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

JUSTIN L. OAKLEY, individually and on behalf of all those similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

V.

DOMINO'S PIZZA LLC, a foreign limited liability company,

Defendant.

No. 20-2-14563-7 KNT

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH MOTION

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on Plaintiff Justin L. Oakley's Motion for Leave to File Overlength Motion. The Court has reviewed the motion and all evidence submitted in support of, and in opposition, if any, to the motion, and is otherwise fully informed.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Overlength Motion in support of his Unopposed Motion for Settlement Class Certification and Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement is GRANTED and the Court accepts such Motion filed contemporaneously with the Motion for Leave to File Overlength Motion.

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH MOTION - 1

SCHROETER, GOLDMARK & BENDER 401 Union Street • Suite 3400 • Seattle, WA 98101 Phone (206) 622-8000 • Fax (206) 682-2305

SO ORDERED this 14th day of February , 2024. JUDGE MAUREEN MCKEE Presented by: PRESENTED BY: s/ Adam J. Berger Adam J. Berger, WSBA #20714 ENTENTE LAW PLLC James B. Pizl, WSBA #28969 Counsel for Plaintiff

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH MOTION - 2

SCHROETER, GOLDMARK & BENDER 401 Union Street • Suite 3400 • Seattle, WA 98101 Phone (206) 622-8000 • Fax (206) 682-2305

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

JUSTIN L. OAKLEY, individually and on behalf of all those similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

DOMINO'S PIZZA LLC, a foreign limited liability company

Defendant.

No. 20-2-14563-7 KNT

[PROPOSED] ORDER
CONDITIONALLY CERTIFYING
SETTLEMENT CLASS, GRANTING
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT,
AUTHORIZING NOTICE, AND
SETTING FINAL FAIRNESS HEARING

(CLERK'S ACTION REQUIRED)

This matter came before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Conditional Settlement Class Certification and Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Plaintiff's Motion"). In conjunction with Plaintiff's Motion, Plaintiff has filed a copy of the Parties' signed Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims (the "Settlement Agreement"), attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of James B. Pizl in support of Plaintiff's Motion.

WHEREAS, the Court has considered Plaintiff's Motion, the Parties' signed Settlement Agreement, and all of the other pleadings, papers, and filings herein;

WHEREAS, as used herein, all terms defined in the Parties' Settlement Agreement shall have the same meaning here; and

WHEREAS, good cause appearing that the Parties' Settlement Agreement is within the range of reasonableness and is presumptively valid,

ORDER CONDITIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS, GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, AUTHORIZING NOTICE, AND SETTING FINAL FAIRNESS HEARING - 1

ENTENTE LAW PLLC 315 THIRTY-NINTH AVE SW STE 14 PUYALLUP, WA 98373-3690 (253) 446-7668

6

11

16 17

18

1920

21

22

23

2425

26

1. NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

Pursuant to Washington Superior Court Civil Rule 23(a) and (b)(3), and in conjunction with the Settlement Agreement, the Court hereby certifies this case as a class action, solely for purposes of implementing the Parties' Settlement Agreement, on behalf of the following Proposed Settlement Class:

All individuals employed by Domino's Pizza LLC as commercial delivery and service drivers based in Washington and paid on a per mile and load weight basis from September 30, 2017 through November 15, 2023.

The Settlement Class shall exclude any persons who opt out of the Settlement Class in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement and Paragraph 14 of this Order.

- 2. The Court finds that the prerequisites of CR 23(a) and (b)(3) have been satisfied for the Settlement Class. Specifically, the Court finds as follows:
 - a. The Settlement Class, which consists of approximately 151 individuals, is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. In reaching this conclusion, the Court has considered not just the number of Class members, but the interests of judicial efficiency, the relatively small value of many Settlement Class Member claims, and other factors relevant to the interest and ability of employees to individually join or bring claims against a current or former employer.
 - b. There are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class, including, but not limited to: whether Domino's failed to pay overtime or the reasonable equivalent of overtime under the Minimum Wage Act, RCW 49.46.130(2)(f), to Plaintiff and members of the Settlement Class.
 - c. The claims of the Named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class, and the Named Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class.

- d. Certification of a Settlement Class under CR 23(b)(3) is appropriate because questions of law and fact common to all Settlement Class Members predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient resolution of this controversy. Such common questions of law and fact include but are not limited to those identified in subparagraph (b) above.
- Pursuant to CR 23, Named Plaintiff Justin Oakley is hereby appointed and 3. designated, for all purposes, as the Class Representative of the Settlement Class, and James B. Pizl and Entente Law PLLC, and Adam Berger and Schroeter Goldmark Bender, are hereby appointed and designated as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class.
- Class Counsel is authorized to act on behalf of the Settlement Class with respect to all acts or consents required by or which may be required pursuant to the Settlement Agreement.
- 5. The Court approves the proposed form and content of the Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action ("Class Notice") that is attached as Exhibit A of Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of James B. Pizl.
- 6. The Court hereby appoints CPT Group Class Action Administrators as Settlement Administrator responsible for establishing a Qualified Settlement Fund ("QSF") pursuant to IRC § 468B(g), mailing and/or emailing Class Notices and settlement awards to Settlement Class Members, and processing and filing all appropriate tax forms and documents including but not limited to W2s, 1099s, 1120-SF, etc.
- 7. Consistent with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement Administrator is hereby directed to email and mail, or cause to be mailed, by first-class mail, a copy of the Class Notice to each Settlement Class Member no later than twenty-one (21) calendar days following the date of this Order.

- 8. Pursuant to CR 23, the Court hereby finds and concludes that the form and manner of giving notice by mailing a Class Notice to each individual Settlement Class Member, as required by the Settlement Agreement and by this Order, is the best notice practicable under the circumstances. Said notice procedures fully satisfy the requirements of CR 23(c)(2) and CR 23(e) and the requirements of due process.
- 9. The Court conditionally approves Class Counsel's request for an attorneys' fees award of \$450,000, or 30% of the gross Settlement Fund, plus actual and projected litigation costs of \$8,000. This approval is preliminary and is subject to modification at the time of final settlement approval upon a showing of appropriate cause.
- 10. The Court preliminarily approves up to \$10,000 to be paid from the settlement fund to compensate CPT Group Class Action Administrators for its services provided in the administration of the settlement. This approval is preliminary and is subject to modification at the time of final settlement approval upon a showing of appropriate cause.
- 11. The Court preliminarily approves an award of an incentive payment of \$20,000 to Justin Oakley in recognition of his role in this case and service to the Settlement Class. This approval is preliminary and is subject to modification at the time of final settlement approval.
- 12. On 4/29/2u, 2024, at 9:00 a.m., a Final Settlement Approval Hearing shall be held before the Honorable Maureen A. McKee at the King County Superior Court in Washington, to determine whether the Court should approve the fairness, adequacy, and reasonableness of the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement and whether the Court should enter the Parties' proposed Final Order and Judgment.

- 13. Pursuant to King County Local Court Rules, Plaintiff shall file memoranda or other papers they may wish to submit in support of the proposed Settlement Agreement no later than nine (9) court days before the Final Settlement Approval Hearing. The memoranda shall confirm that the mailing of the Class Notice was completed in accordance with the requirements of this Order and provide information concerning the individuals that have opted out of the settlement and any objections received. A draft copy of these pleadings shall be provided to Defendant before filing.
- 14. Any person who is eligible to exclude him or herself from the Settlement Class under the terms of the Settlement Agreement must do so by following the instructions for requesting exclusion as set forth in the Class Notice. All requests for exclusion from the Settlement Class must be postmarked, hand-delivered, or emailed to the Settlement Administrator no later than forty-five (45) days after the date of mailing of the Class Notice, in accordance with the instructions in the Class Notice and the terms and requirements of the Settlement Agreement, or they shall be deemed void and ineffective.
- 15. Any Settlement Class Member may enter an appearance through counsel of such Settlement Class Member's own choosing and at such Settlement Class Member's own expense. Any Settlement Class Member who does not personally appear or otherwise enter an appearance at the Final Settlement Approval Hearing shall be deemed to be represented by Class Counsel in this litigation as provided above.
- 16. Any Settlement Class Member who has not validly requested exclusion may submit written objections to the Settlement Agreement by mailing to the Settlement Administrator, at the addresses provided in the Settlement Notice, a written statement containing the Settlement Class Member's name, current address, and the substance of his or her objection (including any briefs and supporting papers) no later than forty-five (45) days after the date of mailing of the Class Notice. Any Settlement Class Member who presents written objections in the manner prescribed above may also appear personally or through counsel at the Final Settlement Approval Hearing to

express the Settlement Class Member's views regarding the Settlement Agreement. Only Settlement Class Members who object to the Settlement Agreement in writing, in person, or by appearance through counsel, in accordance with the procedures set forth in this Order, shall be permitted to appeal or otherwise seek review of this Court's decision approving or rejecting the Settlement Agreement. Failure to follow the procedures for objecting set forth herein shall constitute a waiver of a Settlement Class Member's right to object to the Settlement Agreement.

- 17. Pending this Court's ruling on final approval of the Settlement Agreement, the Named Plaintiff and all Settlement Class Members are enjoined from filing or prosecuting any claims, suits or administrative proceedings regarding claims released in the Settlement Agreement, unless and until the Court's final settlement approval hearing. As detailed in the Settlement, the Released Claims specifically include, but are not limited to, any claims arising out of or relating to any wage and hour claims as well as any attendant claims for overtime, premium payments, interest, liquidated or double damages, exemplary damages, and attorneys' fees and costs relating to any of the foregoing. Released claims also include, but are not limited to, claims arising under the Minimum Wage Act, Wage Rebate Act, and Washington Wage Payment Act.
- 18. The Final Settlement Approval Hearing, and all dates provided for herein, may, without further notice to the Settlement Class, be continued or adjourned by order of this Court.
- 19. Consistent with the Settlement Agreement, neither this Order, nor the fact or substance of the Settlement Agreement, shall be considered a concession or admission, nor shall they be used as evidence in any proceeding for the purpose of establishing Defendant's liability or wrongdoing or for the purpose of establishing grounds for class certification if the Settlement does not receive final approval.
- 20. In the event the Settlement Agreement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, or the Settlement Agreement is not finally approved, or the Settlement Agreement is terminated, canceled, or fails to become effective for any reason, this Order shall be vacated and rendered null and void, the Settlement Class shall be decertified,

25